

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025 PHHC 168164



CRM-M-66525-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.12.2025

RUBINA ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Navjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.262 dated 03.04.2024, under Sections 201, 406, 420, 506, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC and 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.
2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner has accepted approximately Rs.30 Lakhs for sending the complainant and others abroad.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 01 year, 05 months and 02 days. Out of 22 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been partly examined.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody

petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 01 year, 05 months and 02 days. He submits that petitioner is involved in 06 more cases.

6. On a query, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is involved in 06 more cases but she is acquitted in 05 cases.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. Out of 22 prosecution witnesses, only one prosecution witness has been partly examined. Though the petitioner is involved in 06 more cases but she is acquitted in 05 cases. The petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 01 year, 05 months and 02 days. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some time and therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.12.2025

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No