



CRM-M-66645-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CRM-M-66645-2025
Decided on: 28.11.2025**

JAGDEEP PAL ALIAS SIDHU ALIAS GUGGI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Yash Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. This is the second petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case bearing FIR No.35 dated 13.03.2025 under Sections 22, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sadar Nakodar, District Jalandhar, Rural (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contended that the petitioner had earlier been in custody for a period of 01 month but was granted interim bail subject to condition that in case the alleged recovery of 112 tablets is found to be in commercial quantity on receipt



CRM-M-66645-2025 (O&M) -2-

of FSL report, the same shall be cancelled. However, upon receipt of FSL report wherein the alleged recovery of contraband comes out to be 11.648 grams of Etizolam and the same falls under the category of commercial quantity, vide order dated 15.07.2025, the interim bail granted to the petitioner (vide order dated 19.04.2025), was cancelled.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the grant of concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the alleged recovery of contraband falls under the category of commercial quantity.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that since the earlier petition filed by the petitioner has already been dismissed on merits vide order dated 08.09.2025 passed by this Court in CRM-M-49985-2025 and in furtherance thereto, there is no material change in circumstances as contended by learned counsel for the petitioner, hence, the present petition is not maintainable and is hereby **dismissed**.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

28.11.2025

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO