

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:169928



CRM-M-67261-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2025

DEEPAK YADAV ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 150 dated 08.06.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 201, 216, 420, 120-B, 109, 114 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kasola, District Rewari, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner was not named in the FIR and was nominated as an accused solely on the basis of the disclosure statement suffered by the co-accused. The petitioner was not aware of the proceedings pending against him nor was he aware of the order declaring him as proclaimed offender. Thereafter, he was arrested on 06.01.2024 and has been in custody since then.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 10 months and 29 days. Out of 62 cited prosecution witnesses, only 34 prosecution

witnesses have been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the petitioner was not named in the FIR; he was not aware of the proceedings pending against him and he was also not aware of the order declaring him as proclaimed offender; the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 10 months and 29 days, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

05.12.2025

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Janki	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No