

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

2025:PHHC:166412



**CRM-M-66990-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 01.12.2025.**

**GURPAL SINGH @ GAGGU****...Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent(s)****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Amarpreet Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for seeking extension of interim bail granted to the petitioner to attend the marriage of his real brother on the ground of abrupt illness in case bearing FIR No.075 dated 29.05.2025, under Sections 22, 29, 61 and 85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur, Punjab.

2            Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contends that the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused in the aforesaid FIR. It is submitted that false recovery of 1200 intoxicant tablets have been shown from the petitioner whereas there was no involvement of the petitioner in the commission of the offence. The name of the petitioner came forth in the

disclosure statement made by co-accused Sukhdev Singh @ Sukha. It is contended that the marriage of the brother of the petitioner was to be solemnised, hence, the petitioner had applied for an interim bail so as to enable him to attend the marriage on 13.11.2025. Prior thereto, he had withdrawn his petition for the grant of regular bail on 29.10.2025. The petition for interim bail was allowed vide order dated 07.11.2025 and the petitioner was required to surrender on 14.11.2025 by 5:00 P.M but unfortunately, the petitioner fell ill and was admitted in Baani Hospital, Makhu, District Ferozepur and he is undergoing treatment.

3 I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and have gone through the documents appended along with the present petition including the document in support of the claim that the petitioner was unwell. The said medical record shows that the petitioner complained of vomiting and chest pain. There was no diagnosis of any disease.

4 During the course of arguments, counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was diagnosed of typhoid, however, the said claim is not supported by any documents that have been appended with the present petition. Besides, the ailment is not of such a nature for which treatment cannot be provided in the jail premises.

5 Consequently, finding no merits in the present petition seeking extension of interim bail, the same is dismissed.

December 01, 2025.  
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No