



CRM-M-65990-2025 (O&amp;M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-65990-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:15.12.2025

Atish Sharma @ Aatish Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.86 dated 29.08.2025, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Majitha Road, Amritsar.

2. On 21.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, who runs a confectionary shop, has nothing to do with the present FIR, he is not named therein and rather, implicated thereafter despite the fact that there was no amount received by him from the



CRM-M-65990-2025 (O&amp;M) 2

complainant and the agreement which is being pressed into service with regard to Rs.6.8 lakh, Navdeep Kaur wife of Mandeep has already returned the same, based on which the complainant had given 'No Objection' for grant of bail to her as recorded in the order dated 08.09.2025 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar, whereby interim bail was granted and she was directed to join investigation within 7 days. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and made their submissions in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 28.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.



CRM-M-65990-2025 (O&M) 3

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 21.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

**December 15, 2025**

dinesh

Whether speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No