



CWP-34938-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-34938-2025

Date of Decision: 11.12.2025

Sunder Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar,
Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of reply dated 12.10.2023 whereby respondent has rejected his claim to reinstate on the post of Home Guard Volunteer.

2. The petitioner joined Haryana Home Guards as Volunteer in 2012 through proper channel. He completed training conducted by respondent. He worked till 2015. He was terminated in 2015 and his name was deleted from the roll of Home Guard Volunteers. He made a representation to respondents to reinstate him. The respondent by impugned order has rejected his representation. The respondent has held that he discharged duties in 2013 and his name was struck off from the list of members on account of long absence from duty.

**CWP-34938-2025****-2-**

3. On being asked, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert that petitioner was discharged in 2013 and he did not avail any remedy till 2023 against his discharge.

4. The petitioner is claiming that he was discharged in 2015 and as per communication of the respondent he was discharged in 2013. Be that as it may, the petitioner remained dormant from 2015 to 2023. By his act and conduct, he accepted his discharge. Virtually he abandoned his post. He wants to rekindle his dead claim.

5. In the backdrop, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.12.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No