

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHG:175918



(106)

CRM-M-65714-2025
Decided on : 19.12.2025
Uploaded on: 19.12.2025

Naveen Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Shivansh Malik & Ms. Nisha Kanojia, Advocates
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.413 dated 12.09.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 61 of the Excise Act, at Police Station Shivaji Colony, District Rohtak; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 20.11.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.413 dated 12.09.2025 registered for offences punishable under Section 61 of the Excise Act, at Police Station Shivaji Colony, District Rohtak; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the liquor in question has been recovered from one Chandan Yadav, there is no material available with the prosecution (at this stage) to indicate that the petitioner is involved with the crime in

question & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

Adjourned to 17.12.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 28.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from HC Naveen) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation, the petition is allowed and the order dated 20.11.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

19.12.2025

Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No