

2025:PHHC:165806



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CRM-M-65801-2025
Date of decision: 28.11.2025**

SANYAM @ MATHI @ PRINCE

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 122 dated 22.06.2024 under Sections 25/54/59 Arms Act and Sections 21-B, 25/27-A, 29, 61, 85 NDPS Act (added later on) registered at Police Station Ajnala, Amritsar (Rural).

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Akash Sharma alias Seth was found in possession of 200 grams of heroin along with two cartridges of .30 bore weapon and one Activa Scooter without number plate. On the disclosure statement of the said Akash Kumar alias Seth the present petitioner has been named as co-accused and is arrested and one pistol was recovered from the present petitioner.

**CRM-M-65801-2025****-2-**

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that Akash Kumar has been granted the concession of bail on 22.04.2025 and also co-accused Sukhdeep Singh and Karanvir Singh have been granted bail by this Court.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner is involved in two other NDPS cases. He has filed the custody certificate dated 27.11.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for last 01 year, 04 months and 29 days as on today. The trial is yet to commence and charges have not been framed.

4. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

5. In view of the above that the petitioner has been involved in two other NDPS cases but considering the fact that since, the petitioner is in custody for last 01 year, 04 months and 29 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

2025.PHHC.165806



CRM-M-65801-2025

-3-

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

November 28, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No