



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-35299-2025 (O&M)**Decided on : 11.12.2025**

TELU RAM BANSAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Mr. Akshit Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D. V. Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Arshdeep, Advocate for respondent no. 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the fact that in the present petition, certain averments made with regard to the number of the municipalities are factually incorrect, therefore, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to seek information in case, the same is needed by the petitioner by availing appropriate remedy under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

11.12.2025*Riya**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**Whether Reportable: Yes/No*