



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

114+246

CRM-M-65846-2025
Date of Decision: 09.12.2025

GEETA PANCHAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Ms. Shelja Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-48120-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

The affidavit of complainant-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-5) is ordered to be taken on record subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place of the paper book.

MAIN CASE

This petition has been filed under Section 538 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.365 dated 19.09.2023 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.11.2025 arrived at between the parties (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties by virtue of compromise deed dated 04.11.2025 (Annexure P-3) and the complainant has duly sworn an affidavit dated 26.11.2025 with regard to the compromise arrived at between the parties. The said affidavit in original is attached with the petition as Annexure P-5.

3. Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and filed his power of attorney. He admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties and submits that the complainant has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.

4. Learned State Counsel also could not refute the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.365 dated 19.09.2023 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 04.11.2025 arrived at between the parties (Annexure P-3) as well as the affidavit dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P-5) duly sworn by the

complainant subject to costs of Rs.5,000/- each to be deposited with Punjab and Haryana High Court Employees Welfare Association, Chandigarh, Account No.37167209613, State Bank of India, High Court Branch, IFSC Code, SBIN0050306.

7. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

DECEMBER 09, 2025.
Rajender

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No