



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CRA-S No.3646 of 2025

Date of decision : 22.12.2025

Date of uploading : 23.12.2025

Rohit

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate, for the appellatnt

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Office note indicates that respondent no.2 stands served.

However, none has caused appearance on his behalf.

2. On 26.11.2025, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.723 dated 16.09.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the BNS, 2023 and Section 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act, 1989, at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat; the appellant has preferred this appeal seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that genesis of dispute is arising out of a business related dispute the allegations of atrocities under SC/ST Act have been invoked so as to led severity to the allegations; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the role ascribed to the appellant is of giving a simple injury; the appellant is a young man with clean antecedents; & the appellant is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.



Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of appeal, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional AG Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State of Haryana.

Put up on 22.12.2025.

The appellant is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the appellant shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the appellant shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 26.11.2025, the appellant has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 26.11.2025. Accordingly, the instant appeal is allowed. The interim order dated 26.11.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting appellant indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the appellant violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

22.12.2025
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No