

2025:PHHC:164740



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRM-M-65381-2025
Decided on:21.11.2025**

Bittu Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab****...Respondent****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj**

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of interim regular bail to the petitioner for a period of eight weeks in case FIR No.253 dated 13.06.2025, registered under Sections 21(c) of the NDPS ACT, 1985 (Sections 27-A and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 added later on), at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot on account of taking care of his pregnant wife.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that wife of the petitioner is in advance stage of pregnancy and as the petitioner had performed marriage against the wishes of his parents, therefore, they are living separately from him. He further submitted that presence of the petitioner is essential in the attending circumstances and, thus, prayed for releasing the petitioner on interim bail enabling him to be able to by the side of pregnant wife.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Sub Inspector Rajwant Singh No.315/LDH, Station House Officer, Police Station City, Faridkot, District Faridkot, which is taken on record. It is submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender who is

2025:PHHC:164740



registered under Sections 21/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Amir Khas, District Fazilka and FIR No.176 dated 22.07.2025, registered under Sections 21-C/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the available record, it is deciphered that except the present FIR, the petitioner is involved in two other criminal cases of similar nature and the recovery involved in the present case is 300 grams of heroin, which falls within the ambit of commercial quantity and there is every likelihood of the petitioner to indulge in similar type of illegal activities if released on interim bail. Thus, keeping in view overall attending circumstances of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not qualify for the grant of interim regular bail at this stage and hence, the present petition, being denuded of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

6. Nothing said herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

November 21, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES