



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-65393-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 10.12.2025

AMRIK SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.21 dated 27.10.2025, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Bathinda, District Bathinda, under Sections 7, 7A of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (and amended upto Act, 2018) and Section 61(2) of BNSS, 2023.

2. On 20.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case on account of the fact that the complainant himself was at fault for having a kundi connection, which was detected by petitioner No.2, however, No.1 has not even connected with the Department, involved being brother of No.2 and was also not even present at the time of the alleged incident. The petitioners are not involved in any other case and are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 27.11.2025. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 10.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Parminderjeet Kaur affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 20.11.2025 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

10.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No