



CRM-M-65788-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-65788-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.12.2025

Sadha Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.110 dated 12.06.2025, under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

2. On 21.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is the father of co-accused Kirandeep @ Kirandeep Sandhu, who had performed second marriage with Khushwant Singh (since deceased) on 21.06.2021, which had gone sour. They were living even separately ever since 03.11.2023 and a divorce petition had



CRM-M-65788-2025 (O&M) 2

also been preferred 12.05.2025 by the deceased as also convened the various panchayats. Co-accused i.e. wife of the deceased has since been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2025, Annexure P-7. There is neither any specific allegation causing harassment levelled in the FIR, nor any instance of an act, immediately preceding the alleged suicide, which would have made his case fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 45 BNS, so as to constitute the offence under Section 108 BNS. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate appears for the complainant and made their submissions in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 28.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also



CRM-M-65788-2025 (O&M) 3

fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singhl, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 21.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

December 15, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No