

2025:PHHC:165810



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-65796-2025

Date of decision: 28.11.2025

SANDEEP ALIAS SANDEEP KUMAR ALIAS GOGI

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 98 dated 05.05.2025 under Section 21(b) NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 27-A of NDPS Act, 1985 added later on) registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that accused-Rajinder Singh was arrested and 5 grams 50 milligrams of heroin was recovered from him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on disclosure statement of Rajinder Singh, one Krishan Kumar was



CRM-M-65796-2025

-2-

named and on his disclosure statement, the present petitioner has been named as co-accused. Apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence against the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is in custody since 18.05.2025 and has prayed for grant of bail.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner is involved in three other NDPS cases. He has filed the custody certificate dated 26.11.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for last 06 months and 08 days and is involved in three more cases. He further submits that the charges have been framed but no witness has been examined.

4. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

5. In view of the above that the petitioner has been involved in three other NDPS cases but considering the fact that since, the petitioner is in custody for last 06 months and 8 days and no witness has been examined so far, the continuous detention of the petitioner

**CRM-M-65556-2025****-3-**

would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

November 28, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No