



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-66350-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2025

SUKHTAJ SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None appears due to the letter circulated by the
Bar Association.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.274 dated 13.10.2025, registered at Police Station City South Moga, under Sections 21, 29, 61 and 85 NDPS Act.

2. On 27.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that name of the petitioner surfaced on the disclosure statement of co-accused, from whom non-commercial quantity of contraband, it being 150 grams of heroin has been allegedly recovered. He alleges false implication as there is no connection between him and the co-accused. Reliance is placed on the judgment passed by Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of Toofan Singh Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021 (1) RCR (Criminal) 1. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 16.12.2025.”

3. SI Jaspal Singh, Investigating Officer appears and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the same. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

16.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No