



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(115)

**CRWP No. 12575 of 2025
Date of Decision: 08.12.2025**

Arvinderpal Singh @ Rubal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Himanshu Rajput, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of directions upon respondent concerned to take necessary action against respondent No 3 for consistently harassing the petitioner and his family members, and to refrain respondent No 3 from harassing the petitioner and his family members. The petitioner has also sought a direction upon the respondent concerned to conduct fair and impartial investigation into the representation dated 13.9.2025 (Annexure P-7) moved by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No. 3 on 23.8.2020, out of the said wedlock two children were born on 3.1.2022 and 22.8.2023, respectively. On 26.9.2024, a dispute ensued between the couple, and on 27.9.2024, the parents of respondent No 3 forcibly took her away along with them. At that time, respondent No. 3 left both the minor children in the



custody of the petitioner. Thereafter, respondent No. 3 got registered FIR No 86 dated 03.10.2024 under Sections 115(2), 118(1) of BNS 2023, and Sections 85 and 117(2) of BNS (added later on) at Police Station Division No. 2, Ludhiana levelling false allegations. It is also submitted that respondent No. 3 has also filed a petition under Sections 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana by levelling false allegations of cruelty and harassment for demand of dowry. The petitioner has filed a detailed reply to the said petition and denied the allegations levelled therein, and stated that the family members of respondent No. 3 were the active participants and complicit in the act of harassment and cruelty by respondent No. 3. It has further been submitted that respondent No. 3 has also filed a petition under Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act seeking the custody of the minor children. Despite the initiating of multiple litigations, respondent No. 3 has been threatening the petitioner and his family members to implicate in the false complaints. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has also submitted a representation (Annexure P-7) to respondent No. 2. However, no action has been taken on the same.

3. Heard.

4. Pertinently, alternate remedies are available to the petitioner to secure the relief sought in the instant case. Accordingly, this Court finds that no order is required to be passed in the present petition at this stage.

5. Be that as it may, it is directed to the official respondent(s) to decide the representation, if any, filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

6. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.



7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

December 08, 2025
Gurpreet Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No