



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-65468-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.11.2025

Aabid

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.179 dated 08.06.2024, registered under Section(s) 148/149/323/324/341/506 (Sections 302/326 added later on) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been attributed a simple injury on the person of Umar Mohd. He further contends that the petitioner has not been attributed any overt act against the deceased-Afzal. He submits that similarly placed two other co-accused/Akil and Aamir have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 27.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-19074-2025 and CRM-M-11069-2025 respectively.
3. Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant has been filed today

in the Court and the same is taken on record.

4. Learned State counsel, as also counsel for the complainant, are unable to point out any specific or distinct role attributed to the petitioner vis-à-vis the allegations levelled against the co-accused Akil and Aamir.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, and applying the principle of parity, it is evident that the role attributed to the petitioner stands on the same footing as that assigned to co-accused Akil and Aamir, both of whom have already been granted the concession of regular bail and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.11.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No