

2025:PHHC:165905



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-65927-2025

Date of decision: 28.11.2025

PARAMPAL SINGH PANCH @ SARABJIT SINGH @ SUBBU

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Akun Sheemar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 116, dated 05.07.2024 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that Tejinder Singh stood surety for accused Vishal Singh and the petitioner had identified Tejinder Singh. The documents furnished by Tejinder Singh were found to be forged and fabricated upon its verification by the Court through Tehsildar, Amritsar. Learned counsel for the petitioner



CRM-M-65927-2025

-2-

submits that the petitioner has no role in preparation and production of forged documents. The present petitioner was arrested on 13.04.2025 and has been in custody ever since. The trial is yet to commence. Charges have been framed.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has identified the surety who furnished forged documents and the petitioner has full knowledge regarding the forged documents.

4. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

5. In view of the above that the petitioner has been involved for identify the surety who submitted forged documents but considering the fact that since, the petitioner is in custody 13.04.2025, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

2025.PHHC.165905



CRM-M-65927-2025

-3-

7. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

November 28, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No