



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-65027-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.12.2025

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Jaiveer Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.199 dated 17.08.2025, registered under Sections 109, 332(c), 61(2) and 3(5) of BNS along with Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

2. On 18.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that allegations against the petitioner, 75-year-old, are of having transferred Rs.10,000/- to co-accused, Rahul, who had allegedly conducted a recce of the complainant. There is a delay of 3 days in lodging the FIR and after 9 days of the occurrence on 23.08.2025, supplementary statement was given by him with regard to the aforesaid allegations. The complainant made attempts to threaten the petitioner and his wife, regarding which CRWP-9659-2025 seeking protection was filed wherein notice has been issued. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Mithul Singh Rana, Advocate, appears (Through Hybrid Mode) on behalf of the complainant and made their respective submissions in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 28.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 08.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jasvir Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 18.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

08.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No