

2025:PHHC:176846

108 (1st case)**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-65080-2025**

Gaurav

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of decision: December 22, 2025

Date of Uploading: December 22, 2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Mr. Krishna Maurya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana.

Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.93 dated
04.08.2025, under Sections 351(2), 191(3), 191(2), 190 & 109(1) of the
BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station
Tigaon, Faridabad.

2. On 19.11.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Inter alia contends that the FIR in question has been falsely
implicated into the FIR in question which misunderstanding stands
resolved between the rival parties, no effective recovery is to be made
from the petitioner & petitioner is ready to join investigation and
cooperate with the investigating agency.*

Notice of motion for 18.12.2025.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, has caused appearance on behalf of the official respondent-State of Haryana.

At this stage, Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant and has ratified the aspect of the matter having been settled.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 27.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Vinod) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.11.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not being sought by the State; the interim order dated 19.11.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition

stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

December 22, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No