

2025:PHHC:172086



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CRWP-12493-2025
Decided on:10.12.2025**

Jitender @ Jeeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for quashing the order dated 16.10.2025 passed by respondent no.4 declining his application for grant of four weeks furlough.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application for grant of furlough filed by the petitioner has been dismissed on the ground that he had committed jail offence, however, while the petitioner, after availing the parole earlier granted to him, was coming to surrender before the Jail Authorities, he met with an accident on the way and, thus, could not surrender at 05.00 pm, though surrendered after 2 hours, i.e. at 07.00 pm on the same day. He submits that the delay of 2 hours in surrendering before the Jail Authorities was totally unintentional and, thus, the impugned order is totally unsustainable in the eyes of law and prays for quashing thereof and for grant of furlough of four weeks to the petitioner.

2025:PHHC:172086



4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to have instructions.
5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the available record, it is deciphered that the application for furlough of the petitioner has been declined by the Competent Authority only on the ground of committing jail offence regarding surrendering 2 hours late after availing parole but that happened due to accident of the petitioner while he was on the way on that day and, thus, cannot be treated as intentional one. Thus, the impugned order dated 16.10.2025 passed by the Competent Authority is hereby set aside and the matter is remanded back to it with a direction to decide it afresh on or before 31.12.2025 while taking into consideration the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
6. The petition stands disposed of.

December 10, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES