



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-5664-2025

Date of decision : 10.12.2025

Neeta Goyal

... Petitioner

Versus

Sonia Parti

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Kunal Mulwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly submitted that although as per the order passed by this Court, the respondent was to vacate the premises by 31.10.2025 but the respondent had filed Special Leave to Appeal (C) no.32206/2025 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court had granted time till the end of November, 2025 to the respondent to vacate the premises. It is further fairly submitted that the respondent had vacated the premises by 30.11.2025 and thus, the present petition be disposed of. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has other rights as per the affidavit submitted by the respondent and thus, he be permitted to institute appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to enforce the said rights.



2. The present petition is disposed of. Liberty as prayed for is granted to the petitioner.
3. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the maintainability or otherwise on any such proceeding which the petitioner proposes to institute nor has opined on the merits of the said claim and it would be open to all the parties concerned to raise all pleas in the proceedings which the petitioner proposes to institute and the Court concerned would decide the same independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 10, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No