

2025:PHHC:164229



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

166

CRM-M-64652-2025

Decided on:17.11.2025

Neeraj @ Teja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. This is the second petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.221 dated 01.08.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(2), 324(4), 191(3) and 190 of the BNS, 2023 (Section 118(2) of the BNS, 2023 added lateron), at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

2. This is the second petition praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Admittedly, the earlier petition filed by the petitioner bearing CRM-M-54956-2025, after arguing at length, was withdrawn by learned counsel for the petitioner on 29.09.2025.

3. At the outset, this Court does not find any change in the circumstances of the case after dismissing the earlier anticipatory bail application.

4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843** has held that successive

2025:PHHC:164229



anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained on specious ground of 'Changed Circumstances'.

5. In view of the law settled, the present petition, being not maintainable, is hereby dismissed.

6. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

November 17, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES