



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(115-1)

CWP-34247-2025

Date of decision:- 23.12.2025

SUMITRA

... Petitioner

Versus

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioner
(through video conferencing).

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Sunil Kumar Tandon, Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's son namely Ravi Kumar and his cousin Amit, who were working on the rooftop, came in contact with a loosely hanging 11 KV electric line on 22.10.2023. They suffered an electric shock and were immediately shifted to a hospital, where they were declared as brought dead. Reference has been made by the counsel to postmortem report, Annexure P-1, as well as FIR, Annexure P-2, to assert that death is due to electrocution. Counsel asserts that the electricity lines were not properly maintained by Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (DHBVNL) and its officials are responsible for the accident. He states that DHBVNL is liable to compensate the petitioner for the unfortunate demise of her son. He points out that a policy dated 15.07.2019, Annexure P-5, has been framed by DHBVNL for grant of compensation and petitioner has submitted a representation dated 05.10.2025, Annexure P-4, for release of financial assistance but it has not been dealt with.

2. Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the respondents.
3. Mr. Tushar Kaushik, Advocate for Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents. He does not have any instructions regarding the representation.
4. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call for a response from the respondents.
5. Perusal of the petition shows that representation, Annexure P-4, is pending with the respondents and has not been decided. In view thereof, without examining the claim on merits, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation, Annexure P-4, within a period of four months from the date of communication of a copy of this order.
6. Needless to mention, in case, the competent authority finds that claim cannot be accepted, it shall pass a detailed order assigning reasons for rejection.
7. Writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

23.12.2025
parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No