



CRM-M-64748-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-64748-2025
Decided on :23.12.2025

Mukul and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Jatin Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Nikhil Vats, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 5.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 29.08.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
707	24.10.2025	3(5), 324(6), 326(f) and 326(g) of BNS, 2023	HTM, Hisar

2. Vide order dated 17.11.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for

**CRM-M-64748-2025**

2

getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hisar, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

“ In furtherance of the said directions separate statements of complainant/victims Suresh Kumar, Karan, Deepak & Anish Kama and of accused Mukul, Parth & Mayur were recorded. The parties were duly identified. It has been verified that the compromise entered into between the parties to case is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of the parties. Further, I.O. HC Naresh Kumar appeared and suffered a statement to the effect that on 24.10.2025 Suresh Kumar @ Kapil son of Prem Kumar, resident of Gandhi Dairy Colony, Hisar, presented a complaint against Mukul son of Sudhir, resident of Shanti Nagar, Hisar, upon which present FIR No.707 dated 24.10.2025 was registered under Section 324(6), 326(g), 326(f), 3(5) BNS at PS HTM, Hisar, against Mukul son of Sudhir, resident of Shanti Nagar, Hisar and two unknown persons. The IO stated that investigation is conducted by him, in which he has recorded the statements of three other victims viz. Karan son of



Girdhari Lal, resident of House No.754/B, Rajiv Nagar, Shobha Nehru Mahantvali Gali, Hisar, Anish Kamra son of Surender Kama, resident of House No.641/13, Multani Chowk, Hisar and Deepak Kumar son of Preetpal, resident of House No.493, Manphool Nagar, Hisar. The IO further stated that during investigation on 27.10.2025 accused Mukul has been arrested, who has disclosed the name of other accused viz. Parth son of Naresh, resident of Chandigarh and Mayur son of Sushil Kumar, resident of Delhi. The IO further stated that investigation is pending against these two accused. The IO further stated that both the accused and complainant are party to the compromise. Lastly, the IO stated that the accused has not been declared proclaimed offender/person in any case and no criminal case or proceeding is pending against him. Further, the case is pending at the stage of awaiting challan. The copies of the statements and Orders of this Court are attached herewith for onward transmission to the Hon'ble High Court.”

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum- Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hisar, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The



CRM-M-64748-2025

4

aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

23.12.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**