



CRM-M-64399-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-64399-2025

Decided on :14.11.2025

Angrej Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 357 dated 09.10.2025, registered at Police Station City Mandi, District Dabwali, under Sections 105, 109, 3(5), 126(2), 324(4), 351(3), and 115(2) of BNS.
2. Allegation against petitioner-Angrej Singh, is that he reached the spot in a Jeep at around 11:00 p.m., took out a stick/danda, and caused injuries to Manjeet Singh @ Raja.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only a single danda blow was allegedly inflicted on Manjeet Singh, which, according to him, was not the cause of death. He further submits that as per FIR, at one point during the incident, Manjeet Singh had fallen down, and it is only on that basis that the petitioner assumes it might have contributed to his death.

**CRM-M-64399-2025****2**

4. At this stage, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, learned State counsel, appearing on advance notice, submits that the deceased, Manjeet Singh, had suffered a total of six injuries, which ultimately led to his death. Learned State counsel emphasizes that the cause of death cannot be attributed to the fall alone, especially in light of the multiple injuries noted by the medical examination.

5. Considering the serious nature of the allegations, number of injuries sustained by the deceased, and the role of the petitioner in allegedly causing these injuries, this Court finds that no case for discretionary relief under Section 482 BNSS has been made out and present petition is hereby dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

14.11.2025*Rashmi*

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*