



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-64758-2025
Date of decision: 21.11.2025

SHUBHAM

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Shakinderpal Singh Chakkal, Advocate with
Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Ripandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 40 dated 24.04.2025, registered under Section(s) 326(g), 333, 115(2), 351(2), 190, and 191(2) of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station Shambhu, Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. Succinctly stated, the prosecution version is that on 16.04.2025, the complainant alongwith his mother Joginder Kaur and father Karnail Singh were present at their residence when at about 9:40 PM, a group of 7-8 persons came outside their house, started hurling abuses and attempting to break open the gate of house by force. Upon stepping out, the complainant

identified the assailants as Narinder Sharma alias Shanker, Gagan, Jatinder

Singh, Dilpreet Singh alias Dillu, Yash, Bobby, Shubham and Chuchi who were armed with dandas, while Narinder Sharma was carrying a glass bottle filled with an oil like substance. It is alleged that all the accused forcibly entered the complainant's house. Yash gave a danda blow on the head of complainant and Jatinder Singh gave a danda blow on his back. Chuchi gave a danda blow on the left arm of complainant and Gagan gave a danda blow on his right knee. As a result, the complainant fell down whereupon all the accused kicked him repeatedly in the stomach. When complainant's parents tried to intervene to protect him, they too were manhandled and mistreated by the accused. It is further alleged that when complainant's father raised cries for help, then Narinder Singh poured oil onto certain articles and set the same ablaze and threw the burning material into one of the rooms, causing clothes and household articles to catch fire. Thereafter, all the accused fled from the spot.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that identical placed co-accused-Narinder Sharma @ Shankar has been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 04.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-60226 of 2025. The case of the petitioner being at par, the concession of bail be extended to him.

4. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. In view of the above stand, the present petition is allowed and extending a parity treatment to the petitioner to that of his co-accused-

Narinder Sharma @ Shankar, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

NOVEMBER 21, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No