

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**104**

2025:PHHC:165420-DB



**CWP No. 33904 of 2025 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2025**

**Union of India and others**

**..Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav Sarjeet Singh and another**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J(Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order passed by the Tribunal dated 03.02.2023 (Annexure P-1) by which a direction has been given to reconstitute the Resurvey Medical Board to examine the respondent No.1 so as to ascertain whether the respondent No.1 is still suffering from the disease which was found at the time of retirement so as to continue with the disability pension.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the said direction could not have been given.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the record with his able assistance.

4. The only direction given in the present petition is to hold a Resurvey Medical Board to decide the issue whether the respondent No.1 is still suffering from ailment which was found at the time of his discharge. Nothing has been brought to the notice of this Court as to how the said order is causing prejudice to the petitioners which led to filing of the petition after more than 2 ½ years of the passing of order. Rather the petitioner should have reconstituted the Resurvey Medical Board to ascertain the existence of disability of respondent No.1.

5. No ground is made out for any interference.

6. Dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**28<sup>th</sup> November, 2025**

*reema*

**(VIKAS SURI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*