

2025:PHHC:173032



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CRM-M-64273-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2025

VIKRAM SAINI

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CRM-M-64700-2025

NAVJOT SINGH

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-64273 of 2025.

Ms. Pooja Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Palak Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-64700 of 2025.

Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.49806 of 2025

Application is allowed, as prayed for. Annexure A-1 &

A-2 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

1. By this common order, CRM-M No.64273 of 2025 and
CRM-M No. 64700 of 2025 are hereby disposed of as common facts
are involved in both the cases.

**CRM-M-64273-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

2. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 108 dated 16.07.2025, under Sections 22, 27 of NDPS Act (Section 29,31 of NDPS Act added later on) and Sections 223, 318(4), 238, 61(2) BNS 2023 (added later on), registered at Police Station A Division, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.07.2025 one Ravinder Singh @ Nikka was found in possession of 35 loose tablets of intoxicants along with Rs. 500 as drug money. Ravinder Singh in his disclosure statement named Kulwinder Singh@ Kinda. From Kulwinder Singh @ Kinda 650 tablets of Tramadol and 140 capsules of Pregabalin-Methylcobalamin and various other prescribed intoxicants were recovered along with ₹ 4440/- as drug money. Thereafter, on his disclosure statement one Munish Arora was apprehended from whom 50 tablets of Tramadol and ₹ 7.65 lakhs of drug money was recovered along with one empty box of Tramadol and various other empty boxes were recovered. Thereafter, in Munish Kumar Arora's disclosure statement one Pooran Chand and one Navjot Singh who is one of the petitioner in CRM No.64700 of 2025 were named. From Pooran Chand 69600 tables of tramadol and 50 tablets of Alprazolam were recovered. However, nothing has been recovered from the present petitioner. Thereafter, at the instance of

**CRM-M-64273-2025 (O&M)****-3-**

Hari Kishore alleged recovery of raw material 13 drums containing 25 kg Tramadol each, total 325 kgs, 3950 unmarked tablets and 180 tablets of Trakeme-100 was effected from the premises of Lucent Biotech Limited. Thereafter, Hari Kishore named Vikram Saini as well and from Vikram Tomar one pistol along with 120 tablets and various other prescribed drugs were recovered. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that nothing was recovered from the present petitioner namely Vikram Saini. Apart from disclosure statement of the co-accused as mentioned above, there is no other evidence against the present petitioner. Vikram Saini is not involved in any other case. Keeping in view the fact that apart from the disclosure statement there is no evidence against the petitioners both the petitioners and trial is yet to commence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no recovery is stated to have been effected from the present petitioners.

5. Short reply by way of affidavit of Gagandeep Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, East, Amritsar (additional charge) on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record. (in CRM-M-64273 of 2025).

**CRM-M-64273-2025 (O&M)****-4-**

6. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners. He has filed the custody certificates dated 08.12.2025 of the petitioners in the Court today and the same are taken on record. As per the custody certificates, the petitioner Vikram Saini in CRM-M-64273 of 2025 is in custody for the last more than 04 months and 04 days and petitioner –Navjot Singh @ Aman is in custody for the last more than 03 months and 28 days. He further contends that the Vikram Saini-petitioner is not involved in any other case. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that Navjot Singh is involved one NDPS Act.

7. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

8. Keeping in view of the above though the petitioner is involved in two other NDPS cases, considering that the petitioners Vikram Saini in CRM-M-64273 of 2025 is in custody for last more than 04 months and 04 days and petitioner–Navjot Singh @ Aman is in custody for last more than 03 months and 28 days, the continuous detention of the petitioners would not serve the ends of justice. Accordingly this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners during the pendency of the trial.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petitions are allowed. The petitioners are

2025:PHHC:173032



CRM-M-64273-2025 (O&M)

-5-

ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioners are found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of their bail.

December 09, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No