



CRM-M-64308-2025

-1-

(234)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64308-2025

Date of Decision: 19.11.2025

**SAURAV CHAUHAN****... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.30 dated 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 318(4), 316(2) of BNS (Sections 420 and 406 of IPC) at Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, District Ropar.

2. As per the allegations leveled in the FIR, the petitioner and his wife received a sum of Rs.3,30,000/- each from the complainant and his family members to procure jobs for the various family members in the Railways Department.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is a delay of approximately 4 years in the registration of the FIR. As the petitioner is in custody since 09.05.2025 but none of the 39 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded

**CRM-M-64308-2025****-2-**

anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail particularly when his wife has been granted the similar concession.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner does not entitle him to the concession of bail as prayed for. He, however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 09.05.2025, that none of the 39 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that the wife of the petitioner has been granted the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is a first-time offender, in custody since 09.05.2025 but none of the 39 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Saurav Chauhan S/o Radha Krishan is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)**  
**JUDGE**

**19.11.2025**

Kusum

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**