



201 (2<sup>nd</sup> case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 12.03.2025**

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

*Versus*

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:- Mr. Kamal Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab for the respondent.

Mr. H.S.Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNSS'*) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0148 dated 04.11.2024 (P-3), under Sections 115(2), 118(2), 126(2), 351(2) read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNS'*), registered at Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran.

(2) Allegations are that petitioner, along with co-accused, inflicted injuries upon the complainant party with baseball & *Datar*.

2025:PHHC:035415



(3) Contends that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

(4) The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police official concerned and submits that as on today, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

(5) Learned Counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer of petitioner.

(6) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(7) It transpires that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

*“Contends, inter alia, that injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature.*

*Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the above factual position.*

*Posted for 13.02.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer, but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-65519-2024.”*

(8) It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

2025:PHHC:035415



(9) Although, learned Counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer; but in view of the fact that learned State Counsel has not raised any objection and moreover, this is a cross-version case.

(10) In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 29.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(11) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(12) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

(13) It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

12<sup>th</sup> March, 2025  
Gagan

( MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU )  
JUDGE

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |