



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-12660-2024

Date of decision: 01.01.2025

SNEHA BHARGAV AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Kripal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Anil K. Parmar, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 9.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners namely Sneha Bhargav and Prince Heer have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 9.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 18 years and 24 years respectively. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 28.12.2024 at Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir

**CRWP-12660-2024****-2-**

Society as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. A copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) issued by the aforesaid Mandir Society has also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Anil K. Parmar, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 9 and files his Power of Attorney in the Court today which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 28.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the

2025:PHHC:000047



CRWP-12660-2024

-3-

representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners, nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 01, 2025
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No