



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-65637-2024

Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Jashanpreet Singh @ Waris Chouhan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.612 dated 07.09.2024 under Sections 420, 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

2. Status report by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ellenabad, has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that there has been totally non-compliance of the following order dated 01.01.2025 vide which the petitioner had been asked to join investigation:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 482



CRM-M-65637-2024

BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.612 dated 07.09.2024 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. He further contends that the the alleged amount has been received by the company where the petitioner is working as a Manager, who was nothing to do with the alleged transaction and he is not the beneficiary. He further contends that the alleged amount of Rs.7,63,800/- was deposited in the company, which is being managed by co-accused Satbir Singh, who has already been arrested and is in custody. He further contends that in the other seven cases against the petitioner as mentioned in para 22 of the petition, he has already granted interim bail by co-ordinate Bench(s) of this Court, vide orders dated 12.12.2024 (Annexure P-6), 19.12.2024 (Annexure P-7) and 23.12.2024 (Annexure P-8) passed in CRM-M-62288-2024, CRM-M-63903-2024 and CRM-M-64965-2024, which are now pending for 06.02.2025, 05.02.2025 and 21.01.2025 respectively. He also submits that custodial interrogation is not required as nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and that he is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 20.02.2025.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the instructions received by the learned counsel for the State and submits that the petitioner be granted some more time to join investigation.

CRM-M-65637-2024

5. The said request is declined in view of specific allegations levelled against the petitioner coupled with the fact that as per instructions received by the learned State counsel, the petitioner is untraceable and his phone is coming continuously switched off.
6. Dismissed.

20.02.2025

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No