

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65560-2024
Date of decision: 03.02.2025

VANSH KUMAR
....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. CHANDIGARH
....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through V.C.)

Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
214	20.10.2024	115(2), 118(1), 109, 126(2), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023	Sector 31, Chandigarh.

2. Learned State counsel at the very outset has pointed out that the petitioner has wrongly mentioned that no other criminal case is regitered against him and as such, he is not entitled to concession of bail.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has sought apology for not mentioning this fact in the petition by clarifying that the petitioner had informed him that he was called in Police Station in some other case and



was granted concession of bail but he was not aware of the contents of the FIR and due to this fact, this lapse has occurred, which is not intentional.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 30.12.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation to which, the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjeet has not disputed this fact.

5. During the course of hearing on 30.12.2024, following order had been passed: -

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that it is a case of version and cross version and the petitioner also suffered injuries in the alleged incident. He further submits that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents and that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and abide by any condition imposed by this Court.

Notice of motion.


Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 03.02.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to compliance of the provisions of Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State

counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner



had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 30.12.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 30.12.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.02.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |