



209-CRM-M-65564-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65564-2024
Date of decision: 04.03.2025

Rohit Malik **....Petitioner.**

Versus

State of Haryana **....Respondent.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sanawar Ali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report dated 03.03.2025, filed in the form of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ganaur, District Sonipat, on behalf of State-respondent, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), the petitioner seek anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
528	19.12.2024	190, 191(3), 118(1), 115(2) and 110 BNS	Ganaur, District Sonipat



209-CRM-M-65564-2024

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 27.12.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 27.12.2024, following order has been passed: -

'The petitioner prays for concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.528 dated 19.12.2024, registered under Sections 190, 191(3), 118(1), 115(2) and 110 BNS, at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonipat.

As per the prosecution, Ankit and Sachin along with three other boys assaulted the first informant and his friends.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and is sought to be implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of the other co-accused, which is not admissible in evidence.

Learned counsel for State of Haryana admits that though the petitioner is not named in the FIR, however, he is stated to have caused injuries to the first informant with wooden stick.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Dimple Jain, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 11.02.2025.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.'

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI Pardeep, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the



209-CRM-M-65564-2024

investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 27.12.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 27.12.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS). Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.03.2025
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |