



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRWP-12158-2025
Decided on : 17.11.2025

Nakita Kaur and another . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Manisha Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Nakita Kaur	Kiranjeet Singh
Age (in years)	Above 22 years	Above 26 years
Date of marriage	10.11.2025	
Rite	Sikh rites	
Represented on	10.11.2025	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 6	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the



instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 10.11.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, to look into the representation dated 10.11.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. It is also noticed that, in compliance with order dated 12.11.2025, the photographs placed on record do not indicate that they pertain to any marriage function. Therefore, the authority concerned shall also examine whether any such marriage has actually taken place or not.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 17, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No