



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(139)

**CRM-M-65043-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 20.11.2025**

Reena Syal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Baljit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Brijesh Khosla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 15.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, whereby the sentence awarded to the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act has been suspended conditionally by imposing the condition to deposit 20% of the fine/compensation imposed by the trial Court within a period of 60 days.

2. The present complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter to be referred as N.I. Act) was filed by the complainant on a dishonoured cheque allegedly issued by the petitioner as part of transaction involving a disputed agreement to sell.

3. Vide judgment and order dated 17.05.2025 passed by learned trial Court, the petitioner was convicted and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of two years and Rs.9,50,000/- lac compensation to complainant. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred an appeal against the said judgment of conviction and order of sentence before the learned



dated 11.06.2025, suspended the sentence of the petitioner and was directed to deposit 20% of the fine/compensation amount imposed by trial Court within a period of 60 days from the date of order. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred an appeal against the said judgment of conviction and order of sentence of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur before this Court vide CRM-M-41619-2025, which is pending for 26.11.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the learned lower Appellate Court failed to appreciate the facts in the right perspective and imposed the condition to deposit 20% of the compensation.

5. Thus, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is ready to deposit 20% fine/compensation as she was directed to deposit under the impugned order dated 15.09.2025 and the petitioner prayed that petition be accepted.

6. Given the nature of order, this Court proposes to pass, no notice is required to issue to private respondent.

7. Heard.

8. In view of the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to deposit 20% of fine/compensation, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the learned Appellate Court on the date fixed and move an appropriate application to deposit 20% of the fine/compensation amount and Appellate Court is directed to decide his application keeping in mind the facts and circumstances of the case.

10. Till then the petitioner shall not be arrested by the police.

11. Disposed of.



12. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

20.11.2025
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No