



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213/3

CRM-M No.63732 of 2025

Date of decision : 26.11.2025

Date of uploading : 27.11.2025

Paramjit Kaur @ Pammi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate, for
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 13.11.2025, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.148 dated 22.09.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 109, 132, 221, 121(1), 265, 191(3), 190 of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sadar Batala, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a lady aged 48 years with clean antecedents, no specific role/injury is attributed to the petitioner the main accused namely Daler Singh and Simranjeet Singh already stand arrested, no effected recovery is required to be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

Adjourned to 26.11.2025. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-58842-2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 17.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer,



the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. '

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 13.11.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 13.11.2025. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 13.11.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

26.11.2025

Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No