



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

222-4

CRM-M-63622-2025  
Date of decision: 02.12.2025

SUSHIL KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by SI Naresh Kumar.

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.213 dated 31.05.2025, registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 120-B and 471 of IPC at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

2. On 12.11.2025, following order was passed:-

*“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.213 dated 31.05.2025, registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 120-B and 471 of IPC at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.*

*Notice of motion. Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The employees of the State Government had deposited a sum of Rs.1,11,635/- in his*



- 2-

*account on account of damage to the crops but he has no knowledge as to how this amount was deposited. He is ready to refund the amount wrongly paid to him. He never induced anyone to pay the amount to him and it is the State Government or its officials, who were at fault while depositing the amount. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.*

*On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the bail and argued that there are serious allegations against the petitioner, who had dishonestly and fraudulently caused wrongful loss to the State Government, and therefore, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.*

*Adjourned to **02.12.2025** for filing status report.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the condition that petitioner shall deposit the amount received by him with the Investigating Officer and other following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the*

*BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

*i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*

*iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.*

- 3-

3. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 12.11.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 12.11.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
5. Disposed of.
6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

02.12.2025  
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No