



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRWP-12611-2024

Date of Decision:- 03.01.2025

Aafreen and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Tarun Dhingra, Advocate for petitioners (through V.C.).

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Aafreen and Har Bachan have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 24.11.2002. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 05.07.2003. They had performed marriage on 10.12.2024. Copy of marriage certificate is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Panipat dated 10.12.2024, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of father and brothers of petitioner No.1. Respondents No.4 to 7 are father and brothers of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Learned counsel representing State has filed status report,

which is taken on record. As per status report, statements of both petitioners have been recorded which are Annexure R-1 and R-2 where both of them categorically stated that they are living peacefully in the in-laws house situated at Village Assan Kalan and they do not need any police protection.

4. In the light of status report, present petition filed by petitioners seeking protection of their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 has rendered infructuous. However, in case petitioners have any apprehension of threat to their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, they may approach the official respondents No.2 & 3 who will take adequate steps considering the threat perception.

5. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

03.01.2025

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No