



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-65511-2024

Date of decision: April 3rd, 2025

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate
for Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.75 dated 15.09.2024 under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190, 118(2) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

2. Vide order dated 09.01.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that a false and fabricated case having been planted upon the petitioner is evident from the fact that firstly there was an inordinate delay of four days in the lodging of the FIR in question. Furthermore, the petitioner has been attributed a grievous injury on the tip of the right little finger of the complainant.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 09.01.2025, the petitioner has joined

investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 09.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

April 3rd, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No