



CRWP-12549-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-12549-2024
Decided on: 07.04.2025**

Budh Singh and others**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions in the nature of mandamus, to official respondents to provide protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and their other family members at the hands of private respondent.

2. On 05.04.2025, following order was passed:

“i) On 24.12.2024, following order was passed:

“1. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.3-Jaskaran Singh, is minor, aged about 17 years and petitioner No.4 - Navkirandeep Kaur, is of the age of 18 years and both are residing in live-in relationship and therefore, SHO, Police Station Kot Ise Khan, Distt. Moga (respondent No.3 herein) is harassing petitioners No.1 and 2 also, who are parents of petitioner No.3.

2. Notice of motion for 28.01.2025.

3. On advance notice, Mr. S.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab, appears on behalf respondents No.1 to 3 (State) and seeks time to file reply.

4. Meanwhile, the official respondents would refrain



CRWP-12549-2024

2

themselves from causing any kind of interference in the lives of the petitioners, if they are not involved in any criminal case.”

ii) Learned State counsel has filed short reply dated 04.04.2025, by way of affidavit of Ramandeep Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dharamkot, District Moga, on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book Learned State Counsel submits that statements of all the concerned parties i.e. petitioners and concerned respondents, have been recorded. As per statements of the parties, as of now there is no such apprehension of threat at the hands of anyone including private respondents.

iii) Since, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 07.04.2025.

iv) To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Faced with situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not want to press the present petition at this stage and prays for grant of liberty to raise all necessary plea at appropriate stage, before appropriate forum, as available to the petitioners in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as not pressed with liberty sought and recorded hereabove.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 07, 2025

rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**