



**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-12551-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.01.2025**

PRIYANKA

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner through the instant petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India is seeking protection of her life and liberty at the hand of private respondents No. 4 and 5.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No. 4 and 5 are blackmailing her and threatening her to kill. Further, they have extorted a sum of Rs. 50,000/- from her husband and are demanding more money.
3. In compliance of order passed by this Court 24.12.2024 passed by this Court, status report dated 22.01.2025 has been filed and learned State counsel on instructions from SI Birmati submits that veracity of the allegations made by the petitioner have been thoroughly investigated and they have been found incorrect and there is monetary dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents, which is purely civil in nature and no further directions are required to be issued in the present matter as the petitioner has already recorded her statement to this effect on 16.12.2024 vide (Annexure P-4).



4. In view of the specific stand taken by learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be issued in the present case. Thus, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

5. However, in case the petitioner’s presence is required by the jurisdictional police authorities, they are directed to follow the drill of Section 160 Cr.P.C.

24.01.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>