



**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-35354-2024

Date of Decision : 08-01-2025

SWAMI PRASAD AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAM SAMUJH AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate and
Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Standing Counsel
For U.T., Chandigarh for
Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the mother of the petitioners does not want the petitioners to be evicted as she was not party to the litigation before the Tribunal as well as before the Appellate Authority hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty file a fresh petition by impleading the mother as party to the said petition along with affidavit that she does not intend to evict the petitioners from the premises concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

08-01-2025

Sapna Goyal

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO

