



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-64077-2025(O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.12.2025

AZRUDDIN ALIAS AZRU ALIAS AJRUDDIN

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondents(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Mr. Lokesh Vohra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.2.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present second petition has been *inter alia* filed praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.220 dated 31.10.2023, under Sections 498-A, 304-B, 323 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bahin, Palwal.

2. In furtherance to the order dated 19.11.2025, the material witnesses have been examined.

3. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Mohinder Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hathin, District Palwal along with the documents, which are taken on record.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant submits that petitioner has concealed the material facts from this Court in terms of injuries which had been inflicted upon the deceased as the same found mention in the post-mortem-report of the deceased. It is



further submitted that the FSL report demonstrates that no poison was found but fact of the matter is that the deceased who happens to be wife of the petitioner died an unnatural death in the matrimonial home within seven years of marriage and hence rigors of Section 304-B of IPC will come into play and it is for the petitioner to demonstrate that he had no role to play in the unnatural death of the deceased.

5. Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate and as per which, petitioner is in custody for last 02 year 01 month 07 days and learned counsel does not deny the above said factual position.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties at length and have gone through the record carefully.

7. In light of the above and considering the fact that petitioner is in custody for last 02 year 01 months 07 days, coupled with the facts that material witnesses have been examined and the trial is likely to take considerable time, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Accordingly, the petitioner is held entitled to the concession of regular bail. However, in light of the serious allegation and the disclosure statement of the petitioner, learned Trial Court shall impose heavy surety before granting him on bail.

8. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, if not required in any other case, on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The petitioner shall, however, be released on the following conditions:



- i The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him/her.
- ii The petitioner will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he/she has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his/her absence.
- iii The petitioner will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his/her presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.
- iv The petitioner will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case the petitioner does not possess a passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.

The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

- 9. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity qua any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.
- 10. It is further made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of conditions of bail.
- 11. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

23.12.2025
Deepak Patwal

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |