



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

112

CRM-M-63681-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 12.11.2025

Pawan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, has been filed for grant of interim bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.88 dated 24.02.2025, registered under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 140(3), 309(4), 309(6), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 103(2), 117(4), 238, 61(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner limited his prayer to the extent that the petitioner be allowed treatment for his ailment from a private hospital as his TLC (Total Leukocyte Count) in blood is 45,000-50,000, and he is suffering from urinary tract problem with the prostrate (Gadood). The petitioner has not been able to get any earlier slot from Safdurjang Hospital, Delhi for the required treatment and hence, the petitioner wants to get treatment from some private hospital. He requested that Jail authorities be directed to provide the medical summary of the petitioner to his close



CRM-M-63681-2025 (O&M) (2)

relative, so that they can fix an appointment with the doctor in a private hospital in NCR regions.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, puts in appearance as advance copy of petition had been served to respondent-State and submits that the petitioner was taken to Safdurjang Hospital, Delhi, but he refused the procedure for his treatment as mentioned by the trial Court while dismissing his bail application.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions of learned counsel for the parties, Jail Superintendent, is directed to provide photocopy of the medical record pertaining to the petitioner, to his close relative after taking the consent of the petitioner. After consultation with a private doctor, any appointment or schedule for treatment of petitioner is fixed, they will approach the trial Court under whose judicial custody the present petitioner is lodged. The trial Court is also directed to pass an appropriate order to facilitate the petitioner to get the treatment, if any required, as per medical advise in custody and at his own expenses.

7. It is made clear that the order passed by the trial Court be reviewed after going through his medical condition after two weeks.

8. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

12.11.2025
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No