



215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33565-2025

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

HARLOVE SINGH RAJPUT

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.T. CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. G. S. Dhillon, Advocate and
Mr. Harman Bir Singh Juneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Additional Standing Counsel and
Mr. Jaivir Singh, Junior Panel Counsel
for the respondents-U.T., Chandigarh.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.1 and 4 to issue the arms licence to the petitioner under the Non-Prohibited Bore (NPB) category in respect of his application (Annexure P-3) and to direct respondent No.2 to forthwith forward the requisite police verification report to respondent No.1 and also to direct the respondents to proceed to decide and issue licence to the petitioner without awaiting the said report in accordance with the Arms Rules, 2016.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he had applied for an arms licence under the Non-Prohibited Bore (NPB) category vide Annexure P-3 on 25.08.2023, but no action has been taken by the respondents till date.



3. Mr. Ashish Rawal, Additional Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-U.T., Chandigarh submitted that he has instructions from the concerned officer to state that the report of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, has already been sent to the competent authority, who is the Deputy Commissioner, Chandigarh and the competent authority will now consider the aforesaid application (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner in accordance with law and pass an order, within a period of four weeks from today.

4. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the view that considering the aforesaid statement made by the learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-U.T., Chandigarh and the prayer made in the present petition, nothing survives in the present petition and therefore, the same may be disposed of.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No