



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-609-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-07.04.2025**

Jagpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Fateh Singh Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2024 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.20 dated 03.04.2024 under Sections 354/354-B/354-D/323/149 of IPC (Sections 67/67(A) of Information Technology Act, 2000 added later on) registered at Police Station Valtoha, Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for 11 months and 27 days. As per the allegations, some people had come to the house of the complainant and had torn complainant's clothes. He submitted that as per the FIR, direct allegations have been levelled against one of the co-accused, namely Gurcharan Singh, to whom the role was attributed and the petitioner was



not even named in the FIR. The aforesaid co-accused, Gurcharan Singh, has already been extended the benefit of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 24.09.2024 vide Annexure P-4. He also submitted that some of the other co-accused have already been granted the benefit of bail vide Annexures P-5 and P-6. He further submitted that the petitioner is not at parity with other co-accused persons but rather he is on a better footing. He also submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner may be considered for grant of regular bail. .

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that, insofar as the custody of the petitioner is concerned, the same is correct. He submitted that the petitioner is involved in one more case under Sections 411 and 379 of the IPC. He also submitted that, insofar as the parity aspect with other co-accused is concerned, the main accused, namely Gurcharan Singh, has already been granted the benefit of regular bail. He further submitted that some of the other co-accused have also been granted the benefit of bail and regarding parity, there is no dispute that the petitioner is at parity with the co-accused. He also submitted that no prosecution witness has been examined to date

4. After hearing learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the view that considering the custody of the petitioner as aforesaid and the fact that the petitioner is not only at parity with the aforesaid co-accused but also on a better footing because the petitioner was not named in the FIR, the present petitioner also deserves the concession of regular bail.

5. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall



be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

- 6. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.
- 7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

07.04.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No