



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-64160-2025
Date of decision: 19.11.2025

JUJHAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Parampreet Singh Paul, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant **second** petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.20 dated 20.02.2023, registered under Section(s) 302, 148, 149, 506, 427 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused-Jasvir Singh @ Sanjay to whom concession of regular bail was granted by this Court vide order dated 27.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-51763 of 2025. He further contends that the petitioner has undergone an actual custody of more than 02 years and 08 months and 20 days in the present case which is more than the

custody undergone by the said co-accused.

3. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid claim and fairly submits that the case of the petitioner would be at par with the said co-accused.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

5. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the actual custody of 02 years and 08 months and 20 days undergone by the petitioner and the fact that co-accused-Jasvir Singh @ Sanjay was granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 27.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-51763 of 2025, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

NOVEMBER 19, 2025

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No